

## Closed loop drug monitoring and delivery in intensive care

Andrew Norris, Sergey Piletsky\*, Sergiy Korposh Stephen Morgan

> \*Department of Chemistry College of Science and Engineering University of Leicester LE1 7RH E: sp523@le.ac.uk



## Aim and objectives

<u>The aim</u> of this 6 months project is to produce a closed loop control system in which key pharmacological and physiological parameters are monitored in real time and the drug dose altered automatically to optimise patient treatment.

#### The main objectives are:

- 1. Synthesis of nanoMIPs for relevant targets (fentanyl, propofol and midazolam);
- 2. Integration of MIPs with optical fibres (long period grating OFS);
- 3. Testing of sensor performance in model samples.



### **Solid-phase synthesis of nano-MIPs**





## **Synthesiser for MIP nanoparticles**

## Automatic reactor for MIP nanoparticles





- Manufacturing cycle 3.5 hours
- Yield 50 mg (can be scaled up)



### **Comparison of MIPs and antibodies in ELISA**

Template	MIP size, nm	Detecion limit for assay with MIP, nM	Detection limit in assay with antibodies, nM
Biotin	104±6	1.20x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.54x10 <sup>-3</sup>
L-Thyroxine	164±11	8.07x10 <sup>-3</sup>	17.5
Glucosamine	138±16	4.01x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.38x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Fumonisin B2	94±4	6.12x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.5x10 <sup>-2</sup>
Haemoglobin	149±15	8.7x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.54x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Glycated haemoglobin ("polyclonal")	103±14	2.46x10 <sup>-3</sup>	-
Glycated haemoglobin ("monoclonal")*	103±14	9.49x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.38x10 <sup>-4</sup>

\*In contrast to antibodies, "monoclonal" MIPs had no cross-reactivity for non-glycated haemoglobin



## **Targets and derivatives**





## Synthesis of fentanyl derivative



Reaction1: Valdez, C.A.; Leif, R.N.; Mayer, B.P. *PLOS ONE*, 2014, **9**, e108250 Reactions 2 & 3: Bremer, P.T. *et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, **55**, 3772-3775 (supporting information).



## Synthesis of propofol derivative



Reaction 1: Adapted from: Pepperberg, D.R. *et al.*, US20130237899A1, Sept 12 2013, p40 Reaction 2: Stewart, D.S. *et al.*, *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, **54**, 8124-8135.



# Molecular design of nanoMIPs for propofol

#### Selection of monomers based on LEAPFROG

Functional monomer	Binding energy, kcal/mol	
Acrylamide	-26.38	
TFMAA	-16.29	
Itaconic acid	-14.96	
Methacrylic acid	-13.63	
Vinylimidazole	-6.32	

Allows rapid 'dialling' and optimisation of nanoMIPs.

ripos

SYBYL 7.3<sup>™</sup>

Leads to the selection of monomers displaying strong affinity for the template for polymer preparation. Leicester Biotechnology Group



# Molecular design of nanoMIPs for fentanyl

Functional monomers	Binding energy, kcal/mol	
MBAA	-29.77	
Acrylamide	-25.66	
Methacrylic acid	-17.19	
Itaconic acid	-16.38	
EGMP	-16.29	
HEM	-14.23	



#### Composition of the nanoMIPs for fentanyl made in organics:

Functional monomers: MAA, HEM, styrene, TFMAA Cross-linkers: EGDMA, TRIM PETMP, iniferter, fluorescein Solvent: acetonitrile



## Solid phase synthesis of nanoMIPs

- Immobilisation of propofol derivative onto solid phase (glass beads)
- Preparation of propofol-specific nanoMIPs in water using 30 g of glass beads with immobilised propofol

#### Monomeric mixture:

19.5 mg of *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAm)
3 mg of *N*,*N*'-methylene-bisacrylamide (MBAA)
15 mg of *N*-tert-butylacrylamide (TBAm) dissolved in ethanol
50 μL of the solution of 22 mg/mL of acrylic acid in water
3 mg of 3-aminopropyl methacrylate
3 mg of polymerisable rhodamine
50 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS)
Initiator: 12 mg of potassium persulfate and 6 μL of TEMED in 400 μL of water

- Deoxygenation by purging with N<sub>2</sub> for 20 min
- Chemical polymerisation for 1 h
- Washing of unreacted monomers and low affinity nanoparticles
- Elution of high affinity nanoparticles using hot water
- Dialysis of high affinity nanoparticles and their characterisation using DLS



## **OFS** functionalisation





## **Fentanyl detection**





Fentanyl power was dissolved into distilled water with concentration range from 5 ng/ml to 1 mg/ml.

LPG sensor was initially tested with blank sample (distilled water for 4 times in order to evaluate the sample infusion error and turns out the infusion error can be neglected ) then subsequently immerse the sensor into different concentration of fentanyl solution from a low to high order with three times washing with distilled water between each concentration.

Room temperature during test : 26.98 ± 0.14 °C



## **Future work**

- Optimisation of sensor performance for fentanyl and propofol detection in spiked samples;
- Analysis of detection limit and specificity of sensor response;
- Analysis of sensor regeneration conditions;
- Testing of sensor performance over 3 months period.